



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,051	06/20/2003	Thomas N. Chalin	WCMI-0036	5857
20558 7590 08/19/2008 SMITH IP SERVICES, P.C. P.O. Box 997 Rockwall, TX 75087				
EXAMINER				
FLEMING, FAYE M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3616				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/19/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/600,051

**Applicant(s)**

CHALIN ET AL.

**Examiner**

Faye M. Fleming

**Art Unit**

3616

**Period for Reply** -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 May 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,5,10-28,31-36,42,43,45,47,48,50 and 51 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-9,29,30,37-41,44,46,49,52 and 53 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-9, 29, 30, 37-41, 44, 46, 49, 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raidel II, et al. (6,843,490) in view of Obeshaw (6,893,733).

Raidel, II teaches a suspension system for a vehicle having a frame, the suspension system comprising an axle 106; beam 104 interconnected between the vehicle frame and the axle, the beam having opposite ends, an elongated body extending between the opposite ends and a metal end connection at one of the opposite ends (see col. 3, lines 37-40). The end connection is a frame pivot connection. The suspension system has a cross-section with at least two flanges and a web extending between the flanges. The suspension system connection is a frame pivot connection. The end connection is received internally in the body. The body has a generally I-shaped cross-section. The flanges wrap outwardly about the axle end connection. The end connection is received internally in the body. With respect to the I-shaped cross-section having a greater density of fiber than the web of the cross-section extending between flanges, the I-shaped cross-section is thicker and inherently would have a greater density. As shown in figure 2, a sleeve and a body coupling structure are integrally formed wherein the sleeve encircles a bushing 118. The body has a generally I-shaped cross-section.

Raidel II teaches the claimed invention except for the body and the axle being made of a composite material. Obeshaw discloses structural members, having numerous uses (see col. 18, lines 27-30, made of a composite material including a matrix material and a reinforcement material. The reinforcement material is carbon fiber and the matrix material is epoxy resin. Further, Obeshaw teaches a modified contoured crushable structural member such as a steering column made of a composite material, however Obeshaw also teaches composite materials are used in today's vehicles to make structural members; therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to modify the system of Raidel II to be made of a composite material to decrease the weight of the vehicle to improve fuel efficiency.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments filed May 25, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues the prior art does not disclose the limitation "generally I-shaped cross-section" however as broadly recited the examiner disagrees and the prior art clearly shows the body having a generally I-shaped cross-section. With respect to the composite material, Obeshaw does not teach how to fabricate a reliable suspension control arm however, as the applicant argued Obeshaw does teach at least one structural component such as a bracket, coupler, cap or the like could be incorporated into a structure. The suspension control arm clearly could be characterized as "or the like". Further, the prior art clearly teaches the claimed structure.

***Conclusion***

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye M. Fleming whose telephone number is (571) 272-6672. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (571) 272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Faye M. Fleming/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3616